

Malcolm-Ieuan: Roberts.
180 Haven Road
PULLENVALE QLD 4069
Phone: 07 3374 3374
Mobile: 04 1964 2379
E-mail: malcolmr@conscious.com.au
www.conscious.com.au

Monday, March 19th, 2012

Mr Chris Mitchell
Editor-In Chief
The Australian newspaper
GPO Box 4245
SYDNEY NSW 2001

Dear Mr Mitchell:

Re: Information in the national interest and exposing systemic, systematic pervasive corruption by government

Following my letter to you of January 31st 2012 your newspaper's Environmental Writer, Graham Lloyd, called on February 6th seeking a copy of the material sent to you.

That was immediately provided electronically. The following day I forwarded to Graham a link to German newspaper articles. He called immediately seeking more information. During our half hour conversation I mentioned the names of many internationally eminent scientists and authors sceptical that human carbon dioxide production caused global warming. They include a UN IPCC Lead Author and a UN IPCC Expert Science Reviewer who has reviewed all four UN IPCC reports: 1990, 1995, 2001, and 2007. He expressed interest. When I offered to introduce him to these people he immediately accepted my offer and named three in particular. Nonetheless I immediately stopped other work to compile detailed introductions to all those who had been discussed and added names of investigative journalists. These were sent by email the same day.

Due to his apparent interest in learning more about the misrepresentation of science by advocates of human causation of global warming I forwarded to him on February 22nd a copy of my email and Registered Post letter to the Director of the Australian Government Bureau of Meteorology. The same day I forwarded to him a link to a radio interview discussing misrepresentation of climate science by two academic advocates claiming human causation of global warming.

On March 1st, 2012 I asked by email as to whether Graham had been able to contact the people whose phone numbers and/or email addresses I'd provided at his request. I have received no response. On March 16th I repeated my request when forwarding to Graham a copy of my letter to Greg Hunt. I have received no response.

These are simple statements of fact. I am not aware of Graham's work priorities and make no comment on his lack of response other than to say that given Graham's expressed interest and my immediate support of his work I feel disappointed.

I recently sent emails to all people whose names were introduced to Graham. All except one has replied. They all stated Graham had not contacted them. I find that rather unusual as Graham had expressed keen interest in talking with the internationally eminent scientists. It's even more unusual given that global warming has been (incorrectly) labelled as a prime environmental issue.

As a subscriber to The Australian I subscribed because it is far superior in my experience to service provided by the government's ABC radio, TV and Internet networks and by the Fairfax press. Graham's apparent failure to follow through on serious corruption being revealed in climate science seems out of character for The Australian.

Thus, a paper copy of my email providing contact names is enclosed for you.

Secondly, I enclose a copy of my letter to Greg Hunt dated March 10th, 2012 and that letter's accompanying copy of the annotated transcript of a radio interview of Professor Will Steffen, sole '*Expert Adviser*' on climate science to the government-funded and managed Multi Party Climate Change Committee. Professor Steffen's own words make startling revelations. These are compounded by his other public statements referenced in both documents. They are available here:

http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/Greg_Hunt_March_2012_Highlight.pdf
http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/Greg_Hunt_March_2012_Highlight.pdf

My declaration of personal interests has been publicly available on the Internet since publication of my first summary document. It is available here:

<http://www.conscious.com.au/documents/additional%20material/Personal%20declaration%20of%20interests.pdf>

I conclude that our federal government is driving calculated, systemic, systematic and pervasive corruption of science. In doing so it is wasting and misdirecting taxpayer funds and abusing taxpayers. Sadly, although the opposition has repeatedly been made aware of this corruption, I am reliably advised that it lacks the will to fulfil its responsibilities to parliament and to the people of Australia. Thus we have a crisis of national governance.

Given your paper's leadership in fulfilling its responsibilities to its readers and to the people of Australia by revealing the BER waste, I hope The Australian addresses this serious issue of orchestrated corruption of government-funded science.

Thirdly, I enclose a copy of an article by your writer Mike Steketee and published in last Saturday's The Weekend Australian, Sa.17.03.12. It presents my analysis of Mike's article based on my five years' investigating climate science and climate science corruption. I conclude that Mike's article contains many serious errors and omissions that reflect poorly on The Australian.

Fourthly, given the barrage of government-funded publicity your paper is to be commended for being open on the science. Apart from the Ten Network and many commercial radio stations reflecting the voice of the people, your paper seems alone among major media in being open on the science. Commendably News Corp in Australia gives a platform to serious scientists and to those among your journalists who take an informed and justifiably strong position sceptical that humans caused global warming.

As the only major newspaper that reports growing circulation it seems that Australian newspaper readers endorse your independent and courageous stance on climate science.

Yet your paper defies the facts by taking an editorial position supporting a carbon dioxide trading scheme.

Please note (1) the growing strong international chorus of eminent scientists openly and strongly rebutting the government's position, (2) the growing worldwide abandonment or minimisation of efforts to tax or trade carbon dioxide, and (3) all major producer nations of carbon dioxide stating that they will abandon the Kyoto Treaty. Your paper's editorial position is further undermined by recent events and current trends.

Please consider the rapidly growing documentation of corruption of climate science that is the basis for the government-Greens position. I suggest it is time to abandon your support for *'trading'* and to instead expose the corruption of climate science.

While it is pleasing that your newspaper took a stand on the BER I hope it is not now paying the price through the widely condemned Finkelstein report or nakedly aggressive political pressure recently trying to intimidate News Corporation media operations.

With this letter I make three requests of you. They are:

1. Please vigorously conduct your own due diligence on the climate issue. Any open and objective exploration of this will reveal massive corruption of climate science and dishonest abuse of Australian taxpayers;
2. Please change your newspaper's editorial position to reflect the reality that climate *'science'* and carbon dioxide *'trading'* is based on extensive, calculated systematic misrepresentations, corruption, fraud and lies;
3. These are serious allegations I am making. I please request an opportunity to discuss these personally with you. They are sincerely based on extensive documented evidence and recordings. That evidence includes contributions from many internationally eminent climate scientists and investigators. The climate scam as it is coming to be

widely known is a serious issue. When one understands its depth and breadth this issue can be legitimately viewed as the most serious threat to Australia since World War 2.

I am willing to openly share the results from five years of independent, non-aligned, voluntary investigations into climate science. The money being made by advocates of human causation is obscene and tainted. That alone should be enough to raise serious questions worthy of investigation.

With sufficient notice for such a meeting I can arrange to be accompanied by leading scientists from climate and related fields and by experts revealing the massive corruption of climate science. In the event you agree to a discussion I am open to you being accompanied by any person you wish to challenge my information.

I will be in Sydney this coming Friday afternoon, March 23rd through Monday afternoon, March 26th. If needed I can extend my visit or return to meet with you on another mutually convenient date.

I look forward to your response and to assisting The Australian to maintain and enhance its reputation for accurate and independent journalism.

Yours sincerely,

Original personally signed

Malcolm Roberts
BE (Hons), MBA (Chicago)
Fellow AICD, MAIM, MAusIMM, MAME (USA), MIMM (UK), Fellow ASQ (USA, Aust)

Enclosures:

Copy of email contact details of climate contacts as discussed above
Copy of letter to Greg Hunt dated March 10th, 2012
Copy of annotated transcript of radio interview of Professor Will Steffen
Copy of letter to The Australian's Mike Steketee dated March 19th, 2012

cc:

Graham Lloyd
Mike Steketee
Andrew Bolt
Terry McCrann
Christopher Pearson
The Galileo Movement web site