

## **AGENDA 21: CONSPIRACY OR REALITY?**

**Politicians Have Their Say, in their Own Words, About the Foreign  
Program they have been Undemocratically Implementing for 20  
Years**

**Graham Williamson**

**March 2013**

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

It is the purpose of this paper to examine the political facts, in the words of the politicians themselves, pertaining to implementation of Agenda 21 in Australia and thereby assess claims that this program is simply a so called 'conspiracy theory'.

Based upon the extensive political documentation cited in this paper the following facts are confirmed.

1. **According to Australian politicians, Agenda 21, which is a foreign pervasive United Nations program, is being implemented around Australia by all 3 levels of government.**
2. **According to Australian politicians Agenda 21 and associated imported sustainability programs have been implemented on a bipartisan basis in every state of Australia for nearly 20 years.** Politicians reveal that AG21 has penetrated from Canberra to local communities everywhere. It shapes our legal system, our economic system, our environmental system, our political system, and even the education of our children.
3. **All major political parties have shown a consistent determination to implement Agenda 21 as undeclared or covert policy.** Over a 20 year period both major political parties have opted to continue implementing AG21 while omitting it from the electoral agenda. As a result, community ignorance about AG21 and its implications are widespread.
4. Responsibility for undemocratic implementation of Agenda 21 is spread across all three levels of government. **Although councils are at the front line when it comes to implementing many aspects of AG21, councils are coerced and controlled by state governments which in turn respond to pressure from international agreements signed by Canberra.**
5. **Claims that Agenda 21 is a conspiracy are nothing more than an irrelevant distraction since such claims are frequently made by those involved in covertly implementing the program. Clearly if they were less covert and more open they would not create such a sinister impression. The solution is clearly in their hands.**

The politicians have had their say, in their own words, and they have unequivocally confirmed that they have been implementing the United Nations Agenda 21 program around Australia for twenty years. Further, they have confirmed that AG21 implementation continues to be carried out as a bipartisan policy initiative implemented by all three levels of government. The sheer enormity, complexity, pervasiveness, duration, and national/local implementation, of Agenda 21 in Australia, clearly dwarfs any other policy initiative in the history of Australian Federation. Australians have been kept so ignorant however, most remain unaware of this simple fact.

Politicians have also confirmed that Agenda 21 is regarded rather uniquely amongst policy initiatives in that it has apparently been generally agreed that it should be excluded from official party policies, thereby preventing voters from making an informed democratic choice. This preference of politicians to implement Agenda 21 as a covert undeclared policy has serious ramifications

indeed for democracy and stability of government in Australia.

Those who deliberately seek to trash traditional Australian values, remove private property rights, destroy Australian sovereignty, independence and prosperity, while at the same time deliberately preventing Australians from making an informed democratic choice, have no place in public office falsely masquerading as public servants.

Moves to re-establish freedom and democracy and ban Agenda 21 are beginning to flourish and Australia must make sure it is not left behind. Australia has the opportunity to be leading the world and showing the way forward when it comes to reforms to ensure democratic freedom and national independence. Instead, we are being dragged in exactly the opposite direction by self-serving, introspective, backward thinking politicians who continue to force the whole country to suffer as they even describe their own policy as a 'conspiracy theory'.

Those who base their political survival upon deception and subversion of democracy, to conceal their abhorrent policies and abandonment of the people, must be exposed to the full light of truth and the full extent of the law.

**Agenda 21: the planet saving program that is simply too good to publicise or give voters a democratic choice.**

Although many consider [Agenda 21](#) to be a planet saving program and a panacea for social equity and environmental problems which has been [implemented around Australia for twenty years](#), according to the [Education for Sustainability in Local Government: Handbook](#), deceit or confusion about the full implications and origin of AG21 is endemic throughout Australia:

*"Throughout Australia it seems that there has been widespread uncertainty about the meaning, scope and value of the term 'Local Agenda 21'.....Some councils have chosen, for a variety of reasons, not to call their initiatives 'LA21' "....."However, this is not to say that LA21 is not happening within Australia. On the contrary there is Local Agenda 21 activity in every state and territory and many councils are working on projects that have at their core the processes of LA21, although they may not necessarily be using that terminology."*

Given this confusion it is perhaps little wonder that some view [Agenda 21](#) as nothing more than a crazy conspiracy theory ([1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#)). Indeed, **rather than acknowledge or sing the praises of this 20 year old bipartisan policy initiative, many politicians, like the mainstream media in Australia, which completely ignores Agenda 21, go to extraordinary lengths to avoid discussing it at all. A typical case in point is Greg Hunt, currently the Shadow Minister for Climate Action, Environment and Heritage. According to Greg in regard to AG21** (pers comm):

*"It is a 20 year old non-binding declaration... Councils should not misuse a 20 year old agreement..... anyone who misuses a more than 20 year old non-binding declaration to justify contemporary action should not be doing so..... In terms of Agenda 21, it is genuinely an out dated declaration from 21 years ago which has no impact on us and should not be misused by others to justify local council decisions. There is nothing to withdraw from though as it is a non-binding declaration not a treaty. It is like a council motion from 1992.....And no, I am not aware of the details of Agenda 21.....I can honestly tell you that I had never heard of it raised once during the entire period of the Howard*

*Government in the party room or in ministerial discussions..... For the final time i had never heard of the issue, heard it raised by Ministers, MP's or constituents until 19 years after the thing was apparently signed..... Given that for the first 19 years the issue appears to have escaped both of our attention can I respectfully suggest that the discovery of a dead, irrelevant declaration 19 years after the fact may cause everyone to be calm."*

Greg claims that AG21 is a "20 year old non-binding declaration", "an out dated declaration from 21 years ago which has no impact on us", "a non-binding declaration not a treaty", a "dead, irrelevant declaration". But Greg is a politician and a lawyer. But he is yet another politician who has not only failed to inform the electorate about AG21, but also appears very uncomfortable when questioned about this planet saving program. [Greg Hunt even went so far as to associate Agenda 21 with a "conspiracy"](#):

*"Can I ask if you honestly think that John Howard was involved in some global Government Green left conspiracy?.....I respect your views and encourage you to find and approach any councils directly and to attend Council meetings to announce and denounce any actions which you believe are part of a global conspiracy."*

[Kyam Maher, SA Labor MP](#) and ALP state secretary for SA apparently agrees. According to Kyam:

*"The Hon. Ann Bressington has been making quite a name for herself recently in her assaults on accepted science. From her Agenda 21 conspiracy, which seems to hold that scientists and policy makers across the world are secretly involved in an elaborate conspiracy to control all aspects of our lives.."*

Given claims that Agenda 21 is a global conspiracy it is instructive to examine [what our duly elected representatives have been saying](#) about this program over the [20 year period of its bipartisan implementation around Australia](#). Which politicians and media outlets, if any, have accurately informed the public about the facts mentioned below? On the other hand, which politicians and media outlets have been ignoring or concealing these facts and [pretending Agenda 21 is not even being implemented](#)?

## **Agenda 21: Our elected representatives have their say about the 'conspiracy' they have been Implementing for 20 years**

Former Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister for the Environment, **Senator the Hon Ian Macdonald**, in a public symposium forum in Brisbane on 4<sup>th</sup> April 1998 organised by Logan United Citizens Association and Concerned Residents Action Group, and entitled "[Ecological Sustainable Development and Local Agenda 21](#)", outlined the influence of the Commonwealth upon implementation of AG21 at the local level and the [funding provided by the Commonwealth](#):

*"The concept of sustainable development has been with us now since the Rio Earth Summit in 1992, and action has been guided at the international level by Local Agenda 21. At the national level, action has been guided by the 1992 National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development, endorsed by the Commonwealth, State and Territory Governments as well as the Australian Local Government Association..... Commonwealth policies are a catalyst for the development of these dynamic partnerships. The Commonwealth has several initiatives designed to support and provide a catalyst for the development of Local Agenda 21 in Australia....The Environment Resource Officer (ERO) Scheme is operated by the Department of Environment to work in partnership with Local Government. The Scheme funds part of the cost of a dedicated environment officer in a peak Local Government organisation in each State to work with Councils to maximise positive environmental*

outcomes....Last year we also funded a National Environment Resource Officer to be based in Environs Australia...This ERO scheme is one of the main ways the Commonwealth communicates with and provides information on Commonwealth environmental policies and programmes to Local Government....The Commonwealth has recently changed the work plans of the Environment Resource Officer in response to this upsurge in interest following the Newcastle conference. Promoting and assisting councils to develop and implement Local Agenda 21 is a significant part of the Environment Resource Officer's work plans for the current financial year...In addition, a major task of the National Environment Resource Officer is to provide advice on national themes and options for promoting Local Agenda 21 to councils. This includes developing implementation strategies.....**To add impetus to Local Agenda 21 the Commonwealth has recently commissioned the development of a new how-to-do it manual for Local Councils which is due for release mid year.** This manual will go about assisting Local Government and local communities to forge and build local partnerships for sustainability.....The manual will draw on and incorporate the wealth of experience in developing and implementing Local Agenda 21 which has taken place around Australia and throughout the world since the 1992 Earth Summit....The manual will provide a series of practical steps for those Councils who do wish to implement and become involved in and undertake a Local Agenda 21."

Senator Ian Macdonald's concerns about Australia's slow adoption of AG21 go back to 22<sup>nd</sup> February 1994 [when he asked in Parliament](#):

*"I understand that Australia has been criticised for lagging behind in its efforts to meet its goals under the agenda 21 program to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Do you agree that Australia is lagging behind?"*

In 1999, Senator Robert Hill and Senator Ian MacDonald officially launched the [Commonwealth government's Agenda 21 instruction manual](#) for local councils, as recorded by the [May 2000 edition of Local Government Focus](#):

*"In November 1999, Senator Hill, Minister for the Environment and Heritage, launched Our Community Our Future: A Guide to Local Agenda 21, which is an important resource to assist Councils in implementing Local Agenda 21. The launch was held at a reception at Parliament House and coincided with the National General Assembly of the Australian Local Government Association. Over 60 elected members and senior managers attended the reception to launch Our Community Our Future, demonstrating the increasing interest in Local Agenda 21."*

In the Foreword to the Commonwealth Governments Local Agenda 21 instruction manual, [Our Community Our Future: A Guide to Local Agenda 21](#), Senator Robert Hill stated:

*"In 1992, the United Nations released a ground-breaking action plan for sustainable development called Agenda 21. Agenda 21 is a blueprint that sets out actions we can all take to contribute to global sustainability in the 21st century..... The importance of LA21 was recognised in June 1997 by APEC Ministers for Sustainable Development when they set an APEC-wide target of doubling the number of Councils with LA21s by 2003..... The importance of local ESD has been further recognised by Environment ministers from all Australian jurisdictions (meeting as the Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council (ANZECC)) when they agreed to encourage the implementation of LA21 in their own jurisdictions in order to meet the APEC LA21 target in Australia..... Since the Pathways to Sustainability Conference in June 1997 and the release of the Newcastle Declaration, we have seen the growth of Local Agenda 21 initiatives and the LA21 movement in Australia."*

And in the [February 2000 edition of Local Government Focus Magazine](#), [Australia's online local government newspaper](#), Senator Macdonald emphasised that the Commonwealth was driving the implementation of LA21 at the local council level by providing funds:

*"Senator Ian Macdonald, Minister for Regional Services, Territories and Local Government announced \$100,000 in Federal funding to boost the activities of Local Agenda 21, a programme for sustainable development established by and for Local Government....."Local Government spends over \$3 billion each year on environmental protection and resource management. This places Local Government at the forefront of implementation of environmental initiatives like Local Agenda 21.....Local Agenda 21 had become the focus for translating Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) into action at the local level. Senator Hill, the Minister for Environment and Heritage has recently launched Our Community, Our Future: A Guide to Local Agenda 21. "The new manual will assist Local Government and local communities to forge and build local partnerships for sustainability," Senator Macdonald said. "It will draw on and incorporate the wealth of experience in developing and implementing Local Agenda 21 which has taken place around Australia and throughout the world since the 1992 Rio Earth Summit."*

*The manual will provide a series of practical steps for those Councils who do wish to implement and become involved in and undertake a Local Agenda 21.*

*The funds, provided from the Local Government Incentive Programme, will be used for promotion, education and training for Local Government, to support and encourage the adoption of Local Agenda 21 plans in the achievement of long-term sustainability."*

**But wait, how can the Commonwealth government be funding AG21 implementation and be issuing an AG21 instruction manual for councils when [according to Greg Hunt](#) (pers comm), the Commonwealth has no power over councils and AG21 "is a 20 year old non-binding declaration" and "Councils should not misuse a 20 year old agreement..... anyone who misuses a more than 20 year old non-binding declaration to justify contemporary action should not be doing so." It is no wonder the public are so confused when the politicians are so busy contradicting each other.**

In 1997, during the second reading of the Local Government Amendment (Ecologically Sustainable Development) Bill on 21<sup>st</sup> October, [Greens MP Ian Cohen outlined the basis of the legal force behind Agenda 21](#) at that time:

*"Agenda 21, a program of action for sustainable development worldwide,..... Agenda 21 stands as a comprehensive blueprint for action to be taken globally from now into the twenty-first century by governments, United Nations organisations, development agencies, non-governmental organisations, and independent sector groups in every area where human activity impacts on the environment..... While the agreements lack the force of international law, the adoption of the texts carries with it a strong moral obligation to ensure their full implementation. Therefore, it can be argued that Australia, and hence New South Wales, is under a strong moral obligation to ensure their full implementation. In fact, some of the obligations set out in Agenda 21 and the Rio declaration have found their way into national law; namely, the Intergovernmental Agreement on the Environment, which is annexed to the National Environment Protection Council (New South Wales) Act 1995.....The National Environment Protection Council (New South Wales) Act 1995 annexes the Intergovernmental Agreement on the Environment. On 1 May 1992 an agreement was made between the Commonwealth, the States - including New South Wales - the Australian Capital Territory, the Northern Territory and the Australian Local Government Association; that is, the parties to the agreement. The agreement sets out the responsibilities of the parties in relation to the environment and they agreed to take steps to fulfill their responsibilities."*

Also speaking during the reading of this ‘conspiracy’ Bill in NSW Parliament were, Fred Nile, Duncan Gay, Elisabeth Kirkby, Richard Jones, and Jeffrey Shaw.

According to a [media release by Senator the Hon Robert Hill](#), the Leader of the Government in the Senate Minister for the Environment and Heritage on 17<sup>th</sup> July 2001:

*“ADELAIDE TO HOST INTERNATIONAL LOCAL AGENDA » « 21 » CONFERENCE Federal Environment Minister Robert Hill today announced Adelaide will host an international conference on sustainable development for local authorities in March 2002.....There is currently LA21 activity in every State and Territory in Australia. A recent survey by the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives Australia/New Zealand identified 97 Councils in Australia which are engaged in LA21 programs....."In South Australia over 50 per cent of Councils are undertaking an LA21 program with their communities," Senator Hill said.....City of Adelaide Lord Mayor, Alfred Huang, welcomed the selection of Adelaide as host city and said the State has shown an ongoing commitment to LA21....."South Australia's State and Local governments have had a long-term and ongoing commitment to promoting and supporting the uptake of LA21 through the Partnership for Local « Agenda » « 21 » ,” Lord Mayor Huang said.....*

The implementation of Agenda 21 by councils is hardly surprising given the impetus provided by the Commonwealth, the States, and local government organisations.

In fact, the [Australian Local Government Association](#), which has [increasingly close links with the Commonwealth Government](#) and is a [member of COAG](#), was one of the original signatories to the [the Intergovernmental Agreement on the Environment](#) in 1992. In 2002 the [Australian Local Government Association](#) reaffirmed their commitment to Agenda 21 in the [Sustaining Our Communities Declaration](#):

*“There remains a pressing need for further action at all levels to be accelerated if the global sustainable development objectives of Agenda 21 are to be realised. The Newcastle Declaration is acknowledged as a starting point. It is **time to move from commitment to action**..... Continuing the implementation of Agenda 21 in our Local Governments and their communities.....Continuing the implementation of Agenda 21 by **establishing partnerships with non-government organisations, business and finance, and education and research institutions**....Continuing the implementation of Agenda 21 by **working as a partner with national, state and territory governments***

In Victoria, the [Municipal Association of Victoria \(MAV\)](#) “established a statewide partnership of councils involved in ecological sustainable development (ESD) /Triple Bottom Line/Local Agenda 21(LA21) initiatives. A successful first meeting of 17 member councils from around the State was held on 15th August 2001 to establish the MAV Victorian Local Sustainability Partnership.” And “[By 2001, at least 20 local councils in Victoria](#) “were working towards implementing Local Agenda 21 action plans to help their communities become involved in sustainable development.” **See also Bayside Council’s 2003 submission to the Commonwealth Government’s Inquiry into Sustainable Cities 2025.**

Amazingly, our elected representatives, namely, the Environment and Communications Legislation Committee, again [discussed the AG21 ‘conspiracy’ in parliament during senate estimates in 2011](#):

P162

***Dr Grimes:** I do not believe it is formally precluded, but the typical thing that we would expect to see is something that is done with the state government.*

**Senator LUDLAM:** All right. You do not know what the areas are yet. You are going to wait and see who puts their hands up. I just wonder whether I could remind you that many local government authorities went to the trouble of doing Local Agenda 21 plans. Will that provide particular areas with a head start if they have gone and done all that work already as far as getting in the queue for some of this funding?

**Mr Thompson:** It will certainly give those areas that are selected a head start in the planning process. But, as the secretary said, we expect there to be a negotiation or a discussion with state governments about the areas that need planning most. It is not a competitive process in the same way that the suburban jobs initiative is, if you know what I mean. We expect the areas to be selected based on their high growth potential or need.

**Senator LUDLAM:** The reason I am raising it is that there is a possibility there to really complement agenda 21 work that has already been done or completely undermine it and trash it, depending on how it is handled. These programs come and go. People have bright ideas. Then suddenly a program gets killed and people head off and do other things. So I guess I am just putting that out there as a bit of a caution. People have been thinking about these things for years. The Commonwealth has a reputation for occasionally just ploughing and parachuting over the top and trying to start everything again from scratch. Mr Sullivan, you look like you are—

**Mr Sullivan:** It is the issue of coming over the top. While a majority of the funding of this new measure is for the strategic assessment side of the business, which is the planning lever, there is also funding provision here for capacity building at the local government level, which is not for us to do the plan; it is to actually support the planning at local levels. Indeed, you Tuesday, 24 May 2011 Senate are right, Senator; Local Agenda 21 plans have been running since two to three years post Rio, so there is a wealth of knowledge there that, again, can be drawn on—

**Senator LUDLAM:** There is.

**Mr Sullivan:** By councils. But it is not just the Commonwealth coming in through the EPBC processes. There is also a funding provision there, which is important in terms of capacity building, to actually assist in this process.

**Senator LUDLAM:** Before I move on, the secretariat that was managing councils' applications and movement through the different Local Agenda 21 plans were operating on a budget of about \$3 million. It got killed and they had to sack everybody. I am just wondering whether, in your view—perhaps you will shunt me off to a different portfolio—there is any consideration to refund that tiny little appropriation of people who were doing great work so that you folk do not have to reinvent the wheel?

**Mr Thompson:** Senator, I have to say that in the design of this measure so far, that is not envisaged.

**The Environment and Communications Legislation Committee** which discussed the AG21 'conspiracy' in 2011 included Liberal Senators, Eric Abetz, Simon Birmingham, Mary Jo Fisher, Bill Heffernan, Gary Humphries, Ian Macdonald, Marise Payne, Judith Troeth; National Party Senators Ron Boswell, Barnaby Joyce; ALP Senators Doug Cameron, Anne McEwen, Dana Wortley; and Greens Senators, Scott Ludlam and Rachel Siewert.

Apparently our elected representatives regard the dictates of Agenda 21 of being of such importance that even the defence force must comply, as stated in the **Defence Ecologically Sustainable Development Strategy**:

*"This strategy is a high level overarching guide to the integration of Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) principles within Defence such as resource use, environment and heritage management, development of capability, procurement practices, infrastructure development, the operation of Defence Training Areas, and Defence contribution to community well-being.....The United Nations 1992 environmental summit in Rio de Janeiro developed Agenda 21, which sets out a blueprint for sustainable activity across all areas of human activity. The Council of Australian Governments endorsed the National Strategy for Ecologically Sustainable Development (NSESD) to*



*illustrate Australia's commitment to ESD, and implementation of Agenda 21. The NSESD has become the benchmark for ESD in Australia.....The NSESD defines ESD as: '...using, conserving and enhancing the community's resources so that the ecological processes, on which life depends, are maintained and the total quality of life, now and in the future, can be increased'.....Sound environmental management practices are in place to equip Defence personnel to understand and behave in accordance with environmental legislation and its obligations.....In the United States some land has been removed from the Defence Forces' stewardship, due to failure to appreciate the need for robust environmental management."*

**Of course when we are being invaded we must turn our thoughts first to Agenda 21 and the environment, after all, modern weapons are a considerable risk to biodiversity, although, whether these restrictions also adversely impact asylum seekers is not clear. However, since Agenda 21 is even dictating standards of sustainable tourism with [Green Globe 21](#) (8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13), perhaps asylum seekers are also forced to comply. Joe Hockey, then Minister for Tourism, awarded the first Green Globe certification in the world in 2002 (9).**

**But our elected representatives are even using the Agenda 21 'conspiracy' to educate our children (14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21). According to former NSW Minister for Education, John Aquilina, in the NSW Government handbook ["Environmental education policy for schools"](#):**

*"The New South Wales Government is a world leader in supporting environmental education in schools, with particular attention being given to Agenda 21, a global policy outcome of the 1992 Earth Summit. Agenda 21 has been recognised by the New South Wales Government as the basis for an internationally agreed course of action towards sustainability. This has led to legislation in a number of areas, including the Protection of the Environment Amendment (Environmental Education) Act, 1998."*

Inclusion of sustainability principles arising out of the 1992 [United Nations Conference on Environment and Development in Rio de Janeiro](#) (where AG21 was born) in Australian schools was further facilitated by the [Australian Sustainable Schools Initiative](#), the [National Environmental Education Statement for Australian Schools](#), and the [Commonwealth's National Action Plan Environmental Education for a Sustainable Future](#). Moreland Council demonstrates a typical 'hands on' approach for educating children (19):

*"The external Local Agenda 21 Committee of Moreland Council in Victoria is looking at innovative participatory mechanisms such as street theatre, eco-art exhibitions, industry forums (breakfast clubs), school prize giving and school-based 'visioning' through poetry writing and arts projects"*

**But alas, Victorian politicians are apparently just as confused about Agenda 21 as are the other states.**

in Victoria, Mark Birrell, the Coalition Minister for Major Projects, during a speech entitled ["Revitalising Melbourne – Agenda 21"](#), at the Grand Hyatt Hotel on 23<sup>rd</sup> August 1993, confirmed his announcement made 2 years earlier that Agenda 21 is part of Coalition policy (22):

*"In this address I wish to outline the aims and objectives of the Coalition Government's agenda for our capital city. It is important for me first of all to put on record my thanks to the Institute for the work that it has done to assist us in progressing elements of the "Agenda 21" program."*

In stark contrast however, although Agenda 21 has been embedded into Victorian government policies for 2 decades, [according to Minister for Local Government Jeanette Powell](#), it is not part of official government policy:

*“Please note that the Victorian government has not adopted the Agenda 21 policy platform as part of its policies, but continues to take actions in accordance with sound environmental policies for the benefit of Victorians.”*

So although the [Victorian government is implementing AG21 at all levels](#), it has been excluded from official or declared policy to prevent Victorians from being able to make a democratic choice. And even though the Victorian government, and the Victorian Premier and Cabinet, have officially notified the Commonwealth government and the United Nations that they are implementing Agenda 21 ( [23](#), [24](#), [25](#), [26](#), [27](#), [28](#)), still the political parties in Victoria refuse to openly declare it as policy so Victorians can make an informed choice.

**Quite clearly, the Victorian government, over a 20 year period, has gone to extraordinary lengths to deny Victorians any democratic choice as they continue to implement Agenda 21. Why do they consider it so important to remove the ability of Victorians to make a democratic choice?**

## Conclusion

The politicians have had their say and they have unequivocally confirmed that they have been implementing the United Nations Agenda 21 program around Australia for twenty years. Further, they have confirmed that it continues to be a bipartisan policy initiative implemented by all three levels of government. The sheer enormity, complexity, pervasiveness, duration, and national/local implementation, of Agenda 21 in Australia, clearly dwarfs any other policy initiative in the history of Australian Federation. Australians have been kept so ignorant however, most remain unaware of this simple fact.

Politicians have also confirmed that Agenda 21 is regarded rather uniquely amongst policy initiative in that it has apparently been generally agreed that it should be excluded from official party policies, thereby preventing voters from making an informed democratic choice. The politician’s preference to implement Agenda 21 as a covert undeclared policy has serious ramifications indeed for democracy and stability of government in Australia.

Those who deliberately seek to trash traditional Australian values, remove private property rights, destroy Australian sovereignty, independence and prosperity, while at the same time deliberately preventing Australians from making an informed democratic choice, have no place in public office falsely masquerading as public servants.

Claims that Agenda 21 is a ‘conspiracy’ seem to come largely from the politicians who have been busy implementing the program over the past 20 years. Whether Agenda 21 qualifies as a ‘conspiracy’ or not, is of course completely irrelevant and does not detract from the reality of the implementation of the program. Clearly there is an enormous credibility problem when politicians who have been involved in covertly (ie refusing to give voters a democratic choice) implementing Agenda 21 for 20 years then turn around and describe the program as a ‘conspiracy theory’.

Clearly, if politicians were less covert and more open they would not create such a sinister impression. The solution to this problem is clearly in their hands.

Australia has the opportunity to be leading the world and showing the way forward when it comes to reforms to ensure democratic freedom and national independence. Instead, we are being dragged in exactly the opposite direction by self-serving, introspective, backward thinking

**politicians who continue to force the whole country to suffer as they describe their own policy as a 'conspiracy theory'.**

**Those who base their political survival upon deception and subversion of democracy, to conceal their abhorrent policies and abandonment of the people, must be exposed to the full light of truth and the full extent of the law.**