



Dear Professor Karoly,

We are still waiting for your response to our public letter of 18th February 2014.

First, please remember that in our earlier correspondence we gave you the definition of fraud, and reminded you that no one in Australia, whatever their position, is exempt from being held legally accountable for their actions.



It seems that your colleague, Dr Blair Trewin, is the author of the ACORN-SAT data set, so the caution above applies to him also.

The question in the earlier public email was, 'Are you associated in any way with producing BOM's adjusted graphs?' You replied immediately, publicly denying any involvement with BOM's original records. But, you failed to answer the second part of the question. Professor Karoly, are you associated in any way with the BOM's "Adjusted" Records as portrayed in the graphs shown?

The Rutherglen 'before and after' graphs above, and your publication below, are evidence. They show that during your time as Editor of AMOJ you seem to have been involved with publishing, and authoring, information regarding regional temperatures based on seemingly maladjusted data.



Here are some quotes taken from the abstract of your paper,

“Combining the 1860–1950 data with ACORN–SAT data for southeastern Australia enabled temperature variations for 1860–2011 to be studied for the first time”.

and

“Trend analysis confirmed that the 1.1 °C increase in maximum temperature and 0.9 °C increase in minimum temperature since 1960 are the largest and most significant trends in Southeastern Australian temperature in the last 152 years”.

As you know, Professor Karoly, Rutherglen, with its 'maladjusted' data, is one of the stations in Victoria.

However, if you, or Dr Trewin think that anything we have said in this letter is untrue, please click reply all and let us know, and we will apologise.

It's certainly not a good look, to not reply to such a very public email.

Respectfully yours

Dr Judy Ryan

Dr Marjorie Curtis