


From: David John Karoly dkaroly@unimelb.edu.au 
Subject: Re: (2) Response to Professor Karoly's conversation with Margot O'Neil on the ABC regarding fraud.
Date: 6 April 2016 2:27 pm
To: Judy Ryan judyryan46@icloud.com



Hi,

Your email below is incorrect, as I already replied to your email yesterday. Why did you send the same email twice, and why did you not acknowledge that I had already replied?

A colleague has encouraged me to refer your broadcast email to my legal advisers as it may be libellous.

Best wishes, David

~~~~~

**Prof David Karoly**  
School of Earth Sciences  
University of Melbourne, VIC 3010, AUSTRALIA  
ph: +61 3 8344 4698  
fax: +61 3 8344 7761  
email: [dkaroly@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:dkaroly@unimelb.edu.au)  
<http://www.researcherid.com/rid/C-8262-2011>

~~~~~

From: David Karoly <dkaroly@unimelb.edu.au>
Date: Tuesday, 5 April 2016 5:04 pm
To: Judy Ryan <judyryan46@icloud.com>
Subject: Re: (1) Response to Professor Karoly's conversation with Margot O'Neil on the ABC regarding fraud.

Hi Judy,

I am disappointed to see that you are trying to waste my time and those of many others with your broadcast emails.

I definitely have not replied to all, as I do not condone spam emails like yours.

In reply to your numbered points below:

(1) Your earlier emails to me were forward to the University of Melbourne legal office. They said I should not reply as your claims have no legal basis in their opinion. They were not forwarded to the University security office.

(2) I am not involved in any way with the Bureau's data adjustment processes. However, independent analysis of station data from original station records and independently calculated adjustments for long term stations in south-east Australia by a former PhD student, Linden Ashcroft, shows excellent agreement between her adjustments and those calculated by the Bureau of Meteorology. Ashcroft et al. (2012), which I have attached.

I will not engage in your further wasting of my time. I have only replied now because you haven't sent me any specific questions in a year, I think.

Best wishes, David

~~~~~

**Prof David Karoly**  
School of Earth Sciences

University of Melbourne, VIC 3010, AUSTRALIA

ph: +61 3 8344 4698

fax: +61 3 8344 7761

email: [dkaroly@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:dkaroly@unimelb.edu.au)

<http://www.researcherid.com/rid/C-8262-2011>

~~~~~

From: Judy Ryan <judyryan46@icloud.com>

Date: Wednesday, 6 April 2016 1:49 pm

To: David Karoly <dkaroly@unimelb.edu.au>, Vice-Chancellor <vc@unimelb.edu.au>

Subject: (2) Response to Professor Karoly's conversation with Margot O'Neil on the ABC regarding fraud.

Dear Professor Karoly,

We happened to see you on Lateline late last year <http://www.abc.net.au/lateline/content/2015/s4363687.htm>

When referring to the aftermath of the Copenhagen CAGW consensus failure, the reporter Margot O'Neil used the phrase "climate scientists faced accusations of scientific fraud".

Your response was "I had to forward some of those to the police and get advice from both University of Melbourne security services and the police, and yes I did get death threats".

In light of the above, we feel it necessary to clarify our communications with you.

(1) We have communicated with you over a significant period of time. One of your responses included the phrase "refer your emails to the legal office at the University of Melbourne" http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/jr/3_Karoly'sImmediateResponse.pdf. We assume you did forward our emails to the Universities legal office, not the security service. Are we correct in that assumption? This clarification is important as in all our communications we have been respectful and never threatened your security. We have only sought the truth.

(2) The last email in the thread is here:

http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/jr/6_EmailedLetterToKaroly28thMarch2014.pdf. It summarises some of the evidence that would be presented by the prosecution if a charge of fraud was directed against you. You have not answered the question in that letter, which was; are you associated in any way with the BOM's "Adjusted" Records as portrayed in the graphs (particularly the Rutherglen graph) shown in that letter?

Since then, new evidence of scientific misconduct has emerged. The data based maps on the Australian Bureau of Meteorology website seem to be the product of system generated incorrect data. Links to the evidence are here <http://joannenova.com.au/2015/09/camouflage-illusions-in-the-matrix-same-mysterious-temperature-same-day-year-after-year/> and here <http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/jr/SystemGeneratedIncorrectData-2.pdf> poster.

Our new question for you is this. During your long association with the BOM, in either a paid or honorary position, did you realise that the calculations in your published papers might be based on system generated incorrect data, or unscientifically tampered data? Did you ever check and if so, how did you check and what was the result of your check?

Could you please respond to both our queries now; and click reply all when you do. Maybe it is time to clear up misconceptions regarding the crimes of fraud and wilful blindness. Maybe it is time to address the crime of Lysenkoism <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lysenkoism>. The historical evidence indicates that the three crimes are inextricably intertwined.

In closing we reiterate, we are simply two retired scientists articulating the questions that arise from our own research. The evidence is the truth and speaks for itself. As independent scientists we have the courage to listen and respond.

Respectfully yours

Dr Marjorie Curtis

Dr Judy Ryan

Members: World Wide Web of Independent Scientists