


Why do they keep resurrecting the same old Greenhouse hypothesis that has failed twice and been rejected?

\$



In the past 100 years the burning of coal has increased the amount of CO²...some see this as an explanation of the recent rise of world temperature. But.....there seems no reason to regard this rise as more than a coincidence. This theory is not considered further.(2nd paragraph page 1016)

<http://bit.ly/ama1951>

<http://bit.ly/2011Nasif>