

From: Judy Ryan judyryan46@icloud.com
Subject: Sent to a further 86 Greenpeace Members and other young Lovers of the Environment,
Date: 7 December 2015 4:53 pm
To: Marjorie Curtis marjorie.curtis6@bigpond.com



Dear Greenpeace Members and other young Lovers of the Environment,

My name is Judy Ryan and my co-signer's name is Marjorie Curtis. I am in my seventieth year and Marjorie is in her eightieth. We have always had a strong social conscience. That is why we have been Greenpeace supporters. We have always loved and strived to protect all the flora and fauna that are part of planet Earth's environment. We believe in using clean energy that is kind to our environment. That is why we are distressed to see wind turbines slaughtering birds <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=na6HxKQQsAM> and solar farms incinerating them https://www.google.com.au/search?q=solar+farm+flux+killing+birds&client=safari&rls=en&source=lnms&tbm=isch&sa=X&ved=0ahUKewjRhLDTmsHJAhXkMKYKHj-BuEQ_AUjBygB&biw=719&bih=938

What if burning coal is the most environmentally friendly method of generating energy? Worse still, what if the oligarchs, in their endless pursuit of money and power have deliberately deceived us and manipulated our social conscience?

At COP21 you will hear all those oligarchs, politicians and other self-professed experts seriously discussing how to reduce our 'emissions'. As two, young at heart rebels, we dare you, super young rebels, to ask them what it is that they think is so polluting in those emissions. It's certainly not CO₂ <http://www.populartechnology.net/2008/11/carbon-dioxide-co2-is-not-pollution.html>
<http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/jr/SatelliteObservationsOfGlobalCO2Levels.pdf>

Ah! But they'll say CO₂ is a warming 'Greenhouse Gas'. What if it's not? What if the 'Greenhouse Effect' is really a recidivist failed hypothesis that has been rejected by Science? http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/jr/BW_CAGW_RejectedByAMA1951.pdf The evidence shows that CO₂ is actually a coolant in the outer atmosphere because it reflects radiant heat back out into space <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EEFQHDSYP1I>

Ah! But they'll say that in somewhere in the middle atmosphere, CO₂ reflects radiant heat back down to planet earth. So the question then becomes, how does planet Earth generate radiant heat to rise up into the atmosphere in the first place? Well, the estimates from the Environmental Protection Agency indicate that 3% of atmospheric CO₂ is produced by human's burning fossil fuels. The other 97% comes from volcanoes and other natural sources. <http://wattsupwiththat.com/2014/07/29/epa-document-supports-3-of-atmospheric-carbon-dioxide-is-attributable-to-human-sources/> We respectfully suggest that you to ask them at COP21 why they think it's our 3% and not the other 97% that is causing catastrophic global warming.

Note that we use the word 'estimates' not 'evidence' in the sentence above. That is because our 3% is indistinguishable from the other 97% of atmospheric CO₂. Why do we believe that any CO₂ in the middle atmosphere is ours? What if we have been browbeaten and manipulated into accepting responsibility for something that has nothing much to do with us at all?

What if we can actually measure a tiny amount of CO₂ emitted in the lower atmosphere that actually reaches the middle atmosphere and is reflected back to planet earth? So what! Maybe it is analogous to measuring the petrol that sometimes runs down the side of your car when you refill at the bowser.

Here is a link to a letter that Marjorie and I wrote to the Australian Prime Minister Malcolm Turnbull for him, and other delegates at COP21, to read. http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/jr/1of6_AreTheProposalsPoliciesAndProceduresOfCOP21PredicatedInDeceit.pdf

Please follow the links in it to the evidence. Please present it those, whom the evidence indicates, are trying to deceive and manipulate you at COP21.

Respectfully Yours

Dr Judy Ryan
Dr Marjorie Curtis