

# **Carbon Tax Corruption Scandal: Part 2 – Rogues Gallery**

## **(Care-Core-Cure)**

([http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom\\_exposing.pdf](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom_exposing.pdf))

Our previous video established that people care. Now we go to the core, the deliberate manipulation of science.

The government and Greens policies are based on reports by the United Nations Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change, the UN IPCC. It selects scientists and activists to read literature. UN politicians then write Summary Reports given to national governments and media.

The IPCC does no scientific research. It's not accountable to any national governments. It is accountable to no one.

Our web site provides a brief global climate alarm timeline starting with 1974's supposed, forecast, imminent, irreversible catastrophic global ... freezing. A new Ice Age. Blamed on? Coal and oil.

[http://www.conscious.com.au/\\_documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud\\_part%201.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud_part%201.pdf)

More at: [www.conscious.com.au](http://www.conscious.com.au)

Some of the same climate scientists who now fabricate global warming brought you global cooling just 30 years ago. The same supposed cause producing a completely opposite result.

Two years later, in 1976 a slight increase in temperatures was christened the Great Pacific Climate Shift

Just four years later, at the UN's 1980 conference in Villach, Austria, government scientists from around the world refused to sign the UN's claim of global warming due to human production of carbon dioxide. They said "there's no evidence".

The UN learned its lesson. For its next conference in 1985, the UN selected the delegates. Those delegates signed The

UN's claim despite the continuing lack of evidence. The UN fabricated global warming.

In 1995, five times IPCC scientists reported there's no evidence of human warming. Yet UN politicians reported to national governments and media, and I quote: "The balance of evidence suggests a discernible human influence on global climate". The UN, contradicting the evidence. Why?

I'll tell you why. The IPCC's actual written instructions state that once they've announced the politics, in this case the supposed need to reduce human production of carbon dioxide, then the only changes they will publish to the science report, to use their exact words, "shall be those necessary to ensure consistency with the Summary for Policymakers (SPM)". That is, the political document.

*Tim Ball in chapter 11 of the book 'Slaying the Sky Dragon'.*

The IPCC's Chairman at the time, Bert Bolin, had previously pushed for a carbon dioxide tax before the IPCC was even formed. The politics, the grab for money and power was decided before they even started the science.

[http://www.galileomovement.com.au/scientific\\_untruths.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/scientific_untruths.php)

As PM, Kevin Rudd echoed IPCC Chairman Rajendra Pachauri repeatedly saying 4,000 scientists claim warming is blamed on human production of carbon dioxide. UN figures though reveal only 5 reviewers endorsed that claim. Not 4,000 scientists, just 5 reviewers. A blatant falsity from the very top of the UN's climate body, spread by the very top of the Rudd-Gillard-Brown government.

<http://sixtyminutes.ninemsn.com.au/stories/tarabrown/614370/crunch-time>

Chapter 9 of the IPCC's latest report, 2007, is its core. This section is where it actually claims there is warming and attributes it to human production of carbon dioxide. I've read it, completely, twice. There is no evidence that human production of CO2 caused warming.

[http://www.ipcc.ch/publications\\_and\\_data/ar4/wg1/en/ch9.html](http://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ar4/wg1/en/ch9.html)

UN Expert Science Reviewer, internationally eminent research scientist Dr Vincent Gray has around 60 years real-world experience in science, including the last 21 years in climate. He's reviewed all four IPCC reports: 1990, '95, 2001, 2007. He says there's no evidence of man made warming anywhere.

<http://www.conscious.com.au/>

Then go to Vincent Gray, Review of Chapter 9

[http://www.conscious.com.au/\\_documents/gray%20documents/Chapter%209%20UN%20IPCC%20WG1%20AR4%20Vincent%20Gray.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/gray%20documents/Chapter%209%20UN%20IPCC%20WG1%20AR4%20Vincent%20Gray.pdf)

IPCC data reveals that the peer review processes are corrupted, often bypassed, sometimes prevented. Its latest report, 2007, cites and relies on more than five and a half thousand references that were not peer reviewed, including hikers' stories, newspaper articles and political activists' campaign material. Yet IPCC Chairman Rajendra Pachauri repeatedly claims 100% use of peer-reviewed science. Yet another blatant falsity from the very top of the UN climate body, spread by the top of the Rudd-Gillard-Brown govt.

<http://www.noconsensus.org/ipcc-audit/findings-main-page.php>

In Australia, I've asked for evidence from all 8 publicly prominent university academics spreading global warming fear: Flannery, Karoly, Garnaut, Steffen, England, Pitman, Lambeck, Hoegh-Guldberg. And from CSIRO's Chief Executive Megan Clark and its Group Environmental executive, Andrew Johnson. And Australia's Chief Scientist appointed under Rudd, Penny Sackett. And recently from the Australian Academy of Science's Science Policy Manager, Martin Callinan. Who, reportedly, previously worked for Rudd's government.

[www.conscious.com.au](http://www.conscious.com.au)

Refer to posted copies of correspondence under the sub-heading: **'Do these academics sound like climate change 'experts?'**

And:

[http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom\\_exposing.pdf](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom_exposing.pdf)

Flannery is the government's Chief Climate Commissioner. Steffen a Commissioner. Karoly, England and Pitman are on

the Climate Commission's Science Advisory Panel. Garnaut seems the government's main climate adviser.

Most replied. They all failed to provide evidence. They fail to disclose their financial interests. All are government funded. Your taxes. No evidence.

Fraud is defined by the dictionary as the presentation of something as it is not for personal gain.

The international Inter-Academy Council reviewed the IPCC disgraced by the Climategate scandal. So critical is the Council's report, it's impossible for anyone to know which IPCC statements, if any, can be believed. There can be no confidence at all in the IPCC's latest report, 2007.

[http://www.galileomovement.com.au/scientific\\_untruths.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/scientific_untruths.php)

And:

[http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/UN\\_IPCC\\_IAC-Report-Overview-Long.pdf](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/UN_IPCC_IAC-Report-Overview-Long.pdf)

And:

<http://Tome22.info>

Yet the Council's Executive Summary was inconsistent in tone and content with the report's body. The Executive Summary appears to violate the Council's own guidelines.

One of the two summary authors is Kurt Lambeck, former President of the Australian Academy of Science. He's in the Australian National University's Climate Change Institute. Its Director is Will Steffen.

Close interconnections between climate research institutes within major universities, the IPCC, various academies and government bodies are deep and extensive. The common thread? Government funding.

It ranges from research grants to publication under another name of glossy documents funded by the Department of Climate Change. Yet none have any specific real-world scientific evidence that human production of carbon dioxide caused Earth's latest modest atmospheric warming period that ended around 1998. Some documents appear to simply be propaganda.

Is the academics' position accidental, an honest mistake, unconscious, deliberately deceptive or fraudulent? You decide.

[http://www.conscious.com.au/\\_documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud\\_part%201.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/The%20Eco%20Fraud_part%201.pdf) (see 2010, Climategate)

And:

[http://www.conscious.com.au/\\_documents/additional%20material/climategate%20references.pdf](http://www.conscious.com.au/_documents/additional%20material/climategate%20references.pdf)

It's clear. There's no consensus attributing global warming to carbon dioxide. There's no scientific evidence for the government's claim. The basis for government climate policy is political reports driven by a political agenda reversing and corrupting science. Misrepresentations of science are promoted by people benefitting financially from spreading climate fear.

None of this will help our planet. It hurts our planet. It hurts people.

Check for yourself at our web site. Section 1 is entitled "Scientific Untruths". We expose UN and government misrepresentations of science. We have provided a short summary and a full argument with references.

[http://www.galileomovement.com.au/untruths\\_nutshell.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/untruths_nutshell.php)

And:

[http://www.galileomovement.com.au/scientific\\_untruths.php](http://www.galileomovement.com.au/scientific_untruths.php)

In our next episode we will look at the core facts on carbon dioxide. Facts agreed on by both climate alarmists and sceptics.

<http://www.galileomovement.com.au/docs/freedom1-CO2.pdf>

These facts reveal that carbon dioxide cannot do what climate alarmists claim.