

The Amazing Story Behind the 'Global Warming' Scam

[This sort of thing is happening more and more....as governments want to get bigger and more powerful, and as the average scientist becomes more interested in protecting his grant money than in reporting his discoveries truthfully. *Big Science, Big Medicine, Big Government...* all entrenched interests wanting to grow and willing to lie to the taxpayer to do so. And the media and Hollywood never look beyond their own noses.]

The Amazing Story Behind the 'Global Warming' Scam

By John Coleman

The key players are now all in place in Washington and in state governments across America to officially label carbon dioxide as a *'pollutant'* and enact laws that tax we citizens for our *'carbon footprints'*. Only two details stand in the way, the faltering economic times and a dramatic turn toward a colder climate. The last two bitter winters have lead to a rise in public awareness that CO₂ is not a pollutant and is not a significant *'greenhouse'* gas that is triggering runaway global warming.

How did we ever get to this point where bad science is driving big government so that we have to struggle to stop it?

The story begins with an Oceanographer named Roger Revelle. He served with the Navy in World War II. After the war he became the Director of the *Scripps Oceanographic Institute* in La Jolla in San Diego, California. Revelle saw the opportunity to obtain major funding from the Navy for doing measurements and research on the ocean around the Pacific Atolls where the US military was conducting atomic bomb tests. He greatly expanded the Institute's areas of interest and among others hired Hans Suess, a noted chemist from the University of Chicago, who was very interested in the traces of carbon in the environment from the burning of fossil fuels. Revelle tagged on to Suess's studies and co-authored a paper with him in 1957. The paper raises the possibility that the carbon dioxide might be creating a *'greenhouse effect'* and causing atmospheric warming. It seems to be a plea for funding for more studies. Funding, frankly, is where Revelle's mind was most of the time.

Next Revelle hired a geochemist named David Keeling to devise a way to measure the atmospheric content of carbon dioxide. In 1960 Keeling published his first paper showing the increase in carbon dioxide in the atmosphere and linking the increase to the burning of fossil fuels.

These two research papers became the bedrock of the science of global warming, even though they offered no proof that carbon dioxide was in fact a *'greenhouse'* gas. In addition they failed to explain how this trace gas, only a tiny fraction of the atmosphere, could have any significant impact on temperatures.

Now let me take you back to the 1950s when this was going on. Our cities were entrapped in a pall of pollution from the crude internal combustion engines that powered cars and trucks back then, and from the uncontrolled emissions from power plants and factories. Cars and factories and power plants were filling the air with all sorts of pollutants. There was a valid and serious concern about the health consequences of this pollution, and a strong environmental movement was developing to demand action. Government accepted this challenge and new environmental standards were set. Scientists and engineers came to the rescue. New reformulated fuels were developed for cars, as were new high-tech computer-controlled engines and catalytic converters.

By the mid-seventies cars were no longer big time polluters, emitting only some carbon dioxide and water vapor from their tail [exhaust] pipes. Likewise, new fuel processing and smokestack scrubbers were added to industrial and power plants and their emissions were greatly reduced as well.

The Amazing Story Behind the 'Global Warming' Scam

But an environmental movement had been established, and its funding and very existence depended on having a continuing crisis issue. [Emphasis added] So the research papers from *Scripps* came at just the right moment. And, with them came the birth of an issue: '*man-made global warming*' from the carbon dioxide [released] from the burning of fossil fuels.

Revelle and Keeling **used this new alarmism to keep their funding growing.** [Emphasis added] Other researchers with environmental motivations and a hunger for funding saw this developing and 'climbed aboard' as well. **The research grants began to flow, and alarming hypotheses began to show up everywhere.** [Emphasis added]

The '*Keeling Curve*' showed a steady rise in CO₂ in atmosphere during the period since oil and coal were discovered and used by man. As of today, carbon dioxide has increased from 215 to 385 parts per million. But, despite the increases, it is still only a trace gas in the atmosphere. While the increase is real, the percentage of the atmosphere that is CO₂ remains tiny, about .41 hundredths of one percent.

Several hypotheses emerged in the '70s and '80s about how this tiny atmospheric component of CO₂ might cause a significant warming, but they remained unproven. Years have passed and the scientists kept reaching out for evidence of the warming and proof of their theories. **And the money and environmental claims kept on building up.** [Emphasis added]

Back in the 1960s, this '*global warming*' research came to the attention of a Canadian-born United Nation's bureaucrat named Maurice Strong. He was looking for issues he could use to fulfill his dream of one-world government. Strong organized a *World Earth Day* event in Stockholm, Sweden in 1970. From this he developed a committee of scientists, environmentalists, and political operatives from the UN to continue a series of meeting.

Strong developed the concept that the UN could demand payments from the advanced nations for the climatic damage from their burning of fossil fuels to benefit the underdeveloped nations, a sort of CO₂ tax that would be the funding for his one-world government. But, he needed more scientific evidence to support his primary thesis. So Strong championed the establishment of the *United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. **This was not a pure climate study scientific organisation as we have been lead to believe.** [Emphasis added] It was an organisation of one-world government UN bureaucrats, environmental activists, and environmentalist scientists who craved the UN funding so they could produce the science they needed to stop the burning of fossil fuels. Over the last 25 years they have been very effective. Hundreds of scientific papers, four major international meetings, and reams of news stories about climatic Armageddon later, the UN IPCC has made its points to the satisfaction of most, and even shared a *Nobel Peace Prize* with Al Gore.

At the same time that Maurice Strong was busy at the UN, things were getting a bit out of hand for the man who is now called the grandfather of global warming, Roger Revelle. He had been very politically active in the late 1950's as he worked to have the *University of California* locate a San Diego campus adjacent to *Scripps Institute* in La Jolla. He won that major war, but lost an all important battle afterward when he was passed over in the selection of the first Chancellor of the new campus.

He left *Scripps* finally in 1963 and moved to Harvard University to establish a *Center for Population Studies*. It was there that Revelle inspired one of his students to become a major '*global warming*' activist. This student would say later: "*It felt like such a privilege to be able to hear about the readouts from some of those measurements in a group of no more than a dozen undergraduates. Here was this teacher presenting something not years old, but fresh out-of-the-lab with profound implications for our future!*" The student described him as "*a wonderful, visionary professor*" who was "*one of the first people in the academic community to sound the alarm on global warming*". That student was Al Gore. He thought of Dr

The Amazing Story Behind the 'Global Warming' Scam

Revelle as his mentor and referred to him frequently, relaying his experiences as a student in his book *Earth in the Balance* published in 1992.

So there it is: Roger Revelle was indeed the grandfather of global warming. His work had laid the foundation for the UN IPCC, provided the anti-fossil fuel ammunition to the environmental movement, and sent Al Gore on his road to his books, his movie, his *Nobel Peace Prize* and a *hundred million dollars* from the 'carbon credits' business.

What happened next is amazing! The 'global warming' frenzy was becoming the 'cause celeb' of the media. After all the media is mostly liberal [left], loves Al Gore, loves to warn us of impending disasters, and tell us 'the sky is falling, the sky is falling'. The politicians and the environmentalist loved it too.

But the tide was turning for Roger Revelle. He was forced out at Harvard at 65 and returned to California and a semi-retirement position at UCSD. There he had time to rethink carbon dioxide and the 'greenhouse effect'. The man who had inspired Al Gore and gave the UN the basic research it needed to launch its *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* was having second thoughts. In 1988 he wrote two cautionary letters to members of Congress. He wrote: "My own personal belief is that we should wait another 10 or 20 years to really be convinced that the 'greenhouse effect' is going to be important for human beings, in both positive and negative ways." He added, "...we should be careful not to arouse too much alarm until the rate and amount of warming becomes clearer."

And, in 1991, Revelle teamed up with Chauncey Starr, founding director of the *Electric Power Research Institute* and Fred Singer, the first director of the *U.S. Weather Satellite Service*, to write an article for *Cosmos* magazine. They urged more research, and begged scientists and governments not to move too fast to curb 'greenhouse' CO₂ emissions because the true impact of carbon dioxide was not at all certain, and curbing the use of fossil fuels could have a huge negative impact on the economy and jobs and our standard of living. I have discussed this collaboration with Dr. Singer. **He assures me that Revelle was considerably more certain than he was at the time that carbon dioxide was not a problem.** [Emphasis added]

Did Roger Revelle attend the summer enclave at the *Bohemian Grove* in Northern California in the Summer of 1990 while working on that article? Did he deliver a lakeside speech there to the assembled movers and shakers from Washington and Wall Street in which he apologised for sending the UN IPCC and Al Gore onto this *wild goose chase* about 'global warming'? Did he say that the key scientific conjecture of his lifetime had turned out wrong? The answer to those questions is, "I think so, but I do not know it for certain". I have not managed to get it confirmed as of this moment. It's a little like Las Vegas; what was said at the *Bohemian Grove* stays at the *Bohemian Grove*. There are no transcripts or recordings, and people who attend are encouraged not to talk. Yet, the topic is so important that some people have shared with me on an informal basis.

Roger Revelle died of a heart attack three months after the *Cosmos* story was printed. Oh, how I wish he were still alive today! He might be able to stop this scientific silliness and end the global warming scam.

Al Gore has dismissed Roger Revelle's 'mea culpa' as the actions of senile old man. And, the next year, while running for Vice President, he said the science behind global warming is 'settled' and there will be no more debate. From 1992 until today he and his cohorts have refused to debate 'global warming' and when asked about we sceptics, they simply insult us and call us names.

So today we have the acceptance of carbon dioxide as the culprit of 'global warming'. It is concluded that when we burn fossil fuels we are leaving a dastardly 'carbon footprint' which we must pay Al Gore or the environmentalists to offset. Our governments on all levels are considering taxing the use of fossil fuels. The *Federal Environmental Protection Agency* is on the verge of naming CO₂ as a 'pollutant' and strictly regulating its use to protect our climate. The new

The Amazing Story Behind the 'Global Warming' Scam

President and the US congress are 'on board'. Many state governments are moving on the same course.

We are already suffering from this CO₂ silliness in many ways. Our energy policy has been strictly hobbled by 'no drilling' and 'no new refineries' for decades. We pay for the shortage this has created every time we buy gas [fuel]. On top of that the whole thing about corn-based ethanol costs us millions of tax dollars in subsidies. That also has driven up food prices. And all of this is a long way from over!

And I am totally convinced there is no scientific basis for any of it!

'Global Warming': it is the hoax! It is bad science! It is a high-jacking of public policy! It is no joke! It is the greatest scam in history!

January 28, 2009

John Coleman is an American news weather forecaster. He founded [The Weather Channel](http://www.kusi.com/about/bios/weather/1838191.html) (but is no longer affiliated with it), and presently works as an on-camera weathercaster at KUSI-TV in San Diego.

<http://www.kusi.com/about/bios/weather/1838191.html>

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Coleman_\(meteorologist\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Coleman_(meteorologist))

And a word about the Kyoto Protocol & the 'greenhouse gasses' listed

A point that seems to have become rather lost in the flurry of hyperbole is that concerning the *Kyoto Protocol* to which most people point when raising the 'CO₂ is the villain' idea, with the 'let's abandon farming cattle (in particular) to reduce methane pollution' coming in a poor second. The actual gasses mentioned in the *Protocol* go beyond this limited understanding:

Carbon dioxide (CO₂)

Nitrogen oxides (N₂O)

Methane (CH₄)

Sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆)

Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)

It's a funny thing, but we hardly ever seem to hear the advocates of 'Anthropogenic Global Warming' mentioning much about anything other than 'the demon CO₂' and *methane produced by cows!*

We are so fortunate there **is** a 'greenhouse effect' otherwise there would be no life on Earth – including human. Yes, there **are** 'greenhouse' gasses, and they make up about 1% of the atmosphere. Of those, **95% is water vapour** – clouds if you like (ignored under the Kyoto Protocol – *why ignore the biggest 'greenhouse' gas of all?* Simple: *there's no money in trying to reduce clouds, & besides anyone suggesting this would be branded a 'nut case' even by 'true believers'!*)

Of the 5% that's left, CO₂ accounts for about 3%, of which 97% is produced naturally! So some small amount of CO₂ is released into the atmosphere through human activity. **Eureka! The perfect thing! There's BIG MONEY in that!**

But let's ignore that big ball of light up in the sky – the Sun: *there's no money in regulating that!*

Michael Spencer

Melbourne: Tuesday, 9 June 2009